

EXTENDING REPRESENTATIONS OF BRAID GROUPS TO THE AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS OF FREE GROUPS

VALERIJ G. BARDAKOV

ABSTRACT. We construct a linear representation of the group $\text{IA}(F_n)$ of IA-automorphisms of a free group F_n , an extension of the Gassner representation of the pure braid group P_n . Although the problem of faithfulness of the Gassner representation is still open for $n > 3$, we prove that the restriction of our representation to the group of basis conjugating automorphisms Cb_n contains a non-trivial kernel even if $n = 2$. We construct also an extensions of the Burau representation to the group of conjugating automorphisms C_n . This representation is not faithful for $n \geq 2$.

One of the generalizations of the braid group B_n on the n strings is the group of conjugating automorphisms C_n . The pure braid group P_n is a normal subgroup of the group B_n . Similarly, the group of basis conjugating automorphisms Cb_n is normal in the group C_n . In both cases, the quotient groups B_n/P_n and C_n/Cb_n are isomorphic to the group S_n , the symmetric group of degree n .

A. G. Savuschkina [1] proved that C_n is a semidirect product: $C_n = Cb_n \rtimes S_n$. For the group B_n the similar statement is not true, since B_n is torsion-free. The group of basis conjugating automorphisms Cb_n is a subgroup of the group $\text{IA}(F_n)$ of the IA-automorphisms of a free group F_n .

Naturally, the solution of the problem of the linearity of the braid groups B_n for all $n \geq 2$ [2, 3] initiated the study of the problem of the linearity of C_n (as well as an equivalent problem of linearity of Cb_n) [4, Problem 15.9] and of a more general problem of the linearity of the group $\text{IA}(F_n)$ [4, Problem 15.10].

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 20F36; Secondary: 20E05, 20F28, 20G15.

Key words and phrases. Braid group, free group, Magnus representation, Burau representation, Gassner representation, faithful linear representation.

One of the possible approaches to solution of these problems is to try to extend known linear representations of P_n on Cb_n (on $IA(F_n)$) and to try to extend known representations of B_n on C_n . The most famous linear representation of B_n is the Burau representation [5] which is faithful for $n = 3$ and has a non-trivial kernel for all $n > 4$ [6, 7, 8]. In the case when $n = 4$, it is not known whether the Burau representation of B_n is faithful. There is a linear representation of P_n which is called the Gassner representation. The problem of the faithfulness of this representation for $n > 3$ is still open. Construction of both these representations stems from a general construction of the so-called Magnus representation [9, Ch. 3].

In this article using the Magnus representation we will construct a linear representation $IA(F_n) \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{Z}[t_1^{\pm 1}, t_2^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_n^{\pm 1}])$, which is an extension of the Gassner representation of P_n . Then we can easily obtain an extension of the Burau representation on C_n . Unfortunately, the latter representation is not faithful. Moreover, we will show that its restriction to the group of basis-conjugating automorphisms Cb_n has a non-trivial kernel even if $n = 2$, and so the extension of the Burau representation to C_n is not faithful for $n \geq 2$.

As we noted above the Burau representation is not faithful for $n > 4$. However, we apply it to calculate the Alexander polynomials of knots which are closures of the corresponding braids. The Alexander polynomials are one of the most important invariants of the knots. Similarly, C_n is closely related to the so-called welded knots and links, and the linear representations of Cb_n and C_n we have constructed might be used to determine invariants of the welded links.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Vladimir Tolstykh for his remarks on the first draft of this paper. Special thanks goes to the participants of the seminar “Evariste Galois” at Novosibirsk State University for their kind attention to my work.

1. PRELIMINARIES

The braid group B_n , $n \geq 2$, with n strings can be defined as the group generated by $n - 1$ elements $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}$ with the following defining relations

- (1) $\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 2$,
- (2) $\sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i$, $|i - j| \geq 2$.

There is a homomorphism from the group B_n to the symmetric group S_n of degree n defined via

$$\nu(\sigma_i) = (i, i+1), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

The kernel of the homomorphism ν is the pure braid group P_n . The group P_n admits a presentation with generators

$$a_{i,i+1} = \sigma_i^2,$$

$$a_{ij} = \sigma_{j-1}\sigma_{j-2}\dots\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i^2\sigma_{i+1}^{-1}\dots\sigma_{j-2}^{-1}\sigma_{j-1}^{-1}, \quad i+1 < j \leq n,$$

and the following defining relations:

$$a_{ik}^{-\varepsilon} a_{kj} a_{ik}^{\varepsilon} = (a_{ij} a_{kj})^{\varepsilon} a_{kj} (a_{ij} a_{kj})^{-\varepsilon},$$

$$a_{km}^{-\varepsilon} a_{kj} a_{km}^{\varepsilon} = (a_{kj} a_{mj})^{\varepsilon} a_{kj} (a_{kj} a_{mj})^{-\varepsilon}, \quad m < j,$$

$$a_{im}^{-\varepsilon} a_{kj} a_{im}^{\varepsilon} = [a_{ij}^{-\varepsilon}, a_{mj}^{-\varepsilon}]^{\varepsilon} a_{kj} [a_{ij}^{-\varepsilon}, a_{mj}^{-\varepsilon}]^{-\varepsilon}, \quad i < k < m,$$

$$a_{im}^{-\varepsilon} a_{kj} a_{im}^{\varepsilon} = a_{kj}, \quad k < i; \quad m < j \text{ or } m < k,$$

where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$.

The subgroup U_n generated by $a_{1,n}, a_{2,n}, \dots, a_{n-1,n}$ is a free normal subgroup of P_n . The group P_n is a semidirect product of U_n and P_{n-1} . Hence the group P_n is a semi direct product

$$P_n = U_n \rtimes (U_{n-1} \rtimes (\dots \rtimes (U_3 \rtimes U_2) \dots)),$$

where $U_i = \langle a_{1,i}, a_{2,i}, \dots, a_{i-1,i} \rangle, i = 2, 3, \dots, n$, is a free group of rank $i-1$.

The braid group B_n can be embedded into the automorphism group of a free group F_n with a free basis $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$. The said embedding is induced by a map from B_n to $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ defined by

$$\sigma_i : \begin{cases} x_i \mapsto x_i x_{i+1} x_i^{-1}, \\ x_{i+1} \mapsto x_i, \\ x_l \mapsto x_l \end{cases} \quad l \neq i, i+1.$$

The generator a_{rs} of P_n determines the following automorphism of F_n

$$a_{rs} : \begin{cases} x_i \mapsto x_i & \text{if } s < i \text{ or } i < r, \\ x_r \mapsto x_r x_s x_r x_s^{-1} x_r^{-1}, \\ x_i \mapsto [x_r^{-1}, x_s^{-1}] x_i [x_r^{-1}, x_s^{-1}]^{-1} & \text{if } r < i < s, \\ x_s \mapsto x_r x_s x_r^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

By a theorem of Artin [9, Theorem 1.9] automorphism β in $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ belongs to (the image of) B_n if and only if β satisfies the following two conditions

- 1) $\beta(x_i) = f_i^{-1} x_{\pi(i)} f_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$
- 2) $\beta(x_1 x_2 \dots x_n) = x_1 x_2 \dots x_n,$

where π is a permutation of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $f_i = f_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is a word in the generators of F_n .

An automorphism of F_n is called a *conjugating automorphism* if it satisfies condition 1). Let C_n be the group of conjugating automorphisms. Evidently, Cb_n is normal in C_n and the quotient group C_n/Cb_n is isomorphic to the symmetric group S_n . The elements of the group Cb_n are called *basis-conjugating automorphisms*. J. McCool [11] proved that the group Cb_n is generated by automorphisms

$$\varepsilon_{ij} : \begin{cases} x_i \mapsto x_j^{-1} x_i x_j, & i \neq j, \\ x_l \mapsto x_l & l \neq i, \end{cases}$$

$i \leq i \neq j \leq n$.

Recall (see [12, chapter 1, § 4]) that $\text{IA}(F_n)$ is generated by the automorphisms ε_{ij} , $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ and the automorphisms

$$\varepsilon_{ijk} : \begin{cases} x_i \mapsto x_i [x_j, x_k], & \text{if } k \neq i, j, \\ x_l \mapsto x_l, & \text{if } l \neq i, \end{cases}$$

where $[a, b] = a^{-1} b^{-1} a b$.

2. FOX'S DERIVATIVES AND MAGNUS REPRESENTATION

Recall the definitions and main properties of Fox's derivatives [9, Chapter 3; 13, Chapter 7].

Let F_n be a free group of rank n with free generators $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$. If φ is any homomorphism defined on F_n , then we use the symbol F_n^φ to denote the image of F_n under φ . Consider also the group ring $\mathbb{Z}F_n$ of the group F_n over the ring $\mathbb{Z}$ of integers.

For every $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ define the mapping

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} : \mathbb{Z}F_n \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}F_n$$

using the following conditions

$$\begin{aligned} 1) \quad & \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial x_j} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0, & \text{if } i \neq j, \end{cases} \\ 2) \quad & \frac{\partial x_i^{-1}}{\partial x_j} = \begin{cases} -x_i^{-1}, & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0, & \text{if } i \neq j, \end{cases} , \\ 3) \quad & \frac{\partial(wv)}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_j}(v)^\tau + w \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j}, \quad w, v \in \mathbb{Z}F_n, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau : \mathbb{Z}F_n \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is the operation of trivialization which sends all elements of F_n to the identity,

$$4) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\sum a_g g \right) = \sum a_g \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}, \quad g \in F_n, \quad a_g \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

If we denote the fundamental ideal of ring $\mathbb{Z}F_n$ (the kernel of the homomorphism τ) by Δ_n , then it is easy to see that for every $v \in \mathbb{Z}F_n$ the element $v - v^\tau$ belongs to Δ_n . The following formula is true:

$$v - v^\tau = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} (x_j - 1);$$

this formula is called the “*Fundamental formula*” of free calculus. In particular, we have as a consequence that $\{x_1 - 1, x_2 - 1, \dots, x_n - 1\}$ is a basis of the fundamental ideal Δ_n .

We shall need Blanchfield’s theorem [9, Theorem 3.5] which says that an element $v \in F_n$ lies in the commutator subgroup $[\ker \varphi, \ker \varphi]$ if and only if $\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \right)^\varphi = 0$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Let A_φ be any subgroup of $\text{Aut} F_n$ which satisfy the condition

$$x^\varphi = (x^\alpha)^\varphi$$

for every $x \in F_n$ and for every $\alpha \in A_\varphi$. If $\alpha \in A_\varphi$, we define $\|\alpha\|$ to be the $n \times n$ matrix

$$\|\alpha\| = \left[\left(\frac{\partial(x_i^\alpha)}{\partial x_j} \right)^\varphi \right]_{i,j=1}^n$$

with entries in $\mathbb{Z}F_n^\varphi$. This mapping defines the Magnus representation

$$\rho : A_\varphi \longrightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}F_n^\varphi).$$

Taking as φ any homomorphism from F_n onto an infinite cyclic group $\langle t \rangle$, that is, assuming that

$$x_i^\varphi = t, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

we obtain the Burau representation

$$\rho_B : B_n \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}])$$

of the braid group B_n .

Similarly, if φ is a homomorphism from F_n onto a free abelian group A_n with free generators $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$,

$$x_i^\varphi = t_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

then the resulting representation is the Gassner representation

$$\rho_G : P_n \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}[t_1^{\pm 1}, t_2^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_n^{\pm 1}])$$

of the group P_n .

3. THE CONSTRUCTION OF $\widehat{\rho}_G$

It is easy to check that for every $x \in F_n$ and every automorphism $\alpha \in \mathrm{IA}(F_n)$ the following equality is true:

$$x^\varphi = (x^\alpha)^\varphi,$$

where the homomorphism $\varphi : F_n \longrightarrow A_n$ is defined in the end of the previous section. Consequently, we can construct the Magnus representation

$$\rho : \mathrm{IA}(F_n) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(R),$$

where $R = \mathbb{Z}[t_1^{\pm 1}, t_2^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_n^{\pm 1}]$. In order to define the action of ρ on generators of $\mathrm{IA}(F_n)$ we should calculate the Fox's derivations.

Lemma 1. *The following formulas are true in the group ring $\mathbb{Z}F_n$ (where i, j, k are pairwise distinct):*

$$\frac{\partial x_l^{\varepsilon_{ijk}}}{\partial x_m} = 0, \quad m \notin \{l, i, j, k\},$$

$$\frac{\partial x_l^{\varepsilon_{ijk}}}{\partial x_l} = 1, \quad l \notin \{j, k\},$$

$$\frac{\partial x_i^{\varepsilon_{ijk}}}{\partial x_j} = -x_i x_j^{-1} + x_i x_j^{-1} x_k^{-1},$$

$$\frac{\partial x_i^{\varepsilon_{ijk}}}{\partial x_k} = -x_i x_j^{-1} x_k^{-1} + x_i x_j^{-1} x_k^{-1} x_j.$$

We will consider the matrix $\rho(\varepsilon_{ijk})$ as an automorphism of a free left R -module W_n with base $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$.

Then the action of this automorphism (from the right) on the base vectors is as follows:

$$\begin{cases} e_i \rho(\varepsilon_{ijk}) = e_i + t_i t_j^{-1} (t_k^{-1} - 1) e_j + t_i t_k^{-1} (1 - t_j^{-1}) e_k, \\ e_l \rho(\varepsilon_{ijk}) = e_l \end{cases} \quad \text{if } l \neq i.$$

In order to construct the matrices $\rho(\varepsilon_{ij})$, we will use the following simple lemma.

Lemma 2. *The following formulas are true in the group ring $\mathbb{Z}F_n$ (where i, j, l, m are pairwise distinct):*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial x_l^{\varepsilon_{ij}}}{\partial x_m} &= 0, \quad \frac{\partial x_l^{\varepsilon_{ij}}}{\partial x_l} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial x_i^{\varepsilon_{ij}}}{\partial x_i} = x_j^{-1}, \quad \frac{\partial x_i^{\varepsilon_{ij}}}{\partial x_j} = x_j^{-1} (x_i - 1). \\ \frac{\partial x_l^{\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}}}{\partial x_m} &= 0, \quad \frac{\partial x_l^{\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}}}{\partial x_l} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial x_i^{\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}}}{\partial x_i} = x_j, \quad \frac{\partial x_i^{\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}}}{\partial x_j} = 1 - x_j x_i x_j^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the matrix $\rho(\varepsilon_{ij})$ defines the action on the base of W_n by the next formulas:

$$\begin{cases} e_i \rho(\varepsilon_{ij}) = t_j^{-1} (t_i - 1) e_j + t_j^{-1} e_i, \\ e_l \rho(\varepsilon_{ij}) = e_l \end{cases} \quad \text{if } l \neq i.$$

For the automorphism

$$\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1} : \begin{cases} x_i \mapsto x_j x_i x_j^{-1} & \text{if } i \neq j, \\ x_l \mapsto x_l & \text{if } l \neq i, \end{cases}$$

the matrix $\rho(\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1})$ is defined by the action on the base of W_n as follows:

$$\begin{cases} e_i \rho(\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}) = t_j e_i + (1 - t_i) e_j, \\ e_l \rho(\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}) = e_l \end{cases} \quad \text{if } l \neq i.$$

It is easy to check that being defined in this way the mapping ρ is a linear representation of $\text{IA}(F_n)$.

Restricting ρ to Cb_n , we obtain that

$$\hat{\rho}_G = \rho|_{Cb_n} : Cb_n \longrightarrow \text{GL}_n(R).$$

Theorem 1. *The linear representation*

$$\widehat{\rho}_G : Cb_n \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(R)$$

is an extensions of the Gassner representation of the pure braid group P_n .

Proof. The group P_n is a subgroup of Cb_n and its generators a_{ij} , $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ can be expressed via the (standard) generators of Cb_n in the following way [14, Lemma 4]:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i,i+1} &= \varepsilon_{i,i+1}^{-1} \varepsilon_{i+1,i}^{-1}, & i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \\ a_{ij} &= \varepsilon_{j-1,i} \varepsilon_{j-2,i} \dots \varepsilon_{i+1,i} (\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1} \varepsilon_{ji}^{-1}) \varepsilon_{i+1,i}^{-1} \dots \varepsilon_{j-2,i}^{-1} \varepsilon_{j-1,i}^{-1}, \\ &= \varepsilon_{j-1,j}^{-1} \varepsilon_{j-2,j}^{-1} \dots \varepsilon_{i+1,j}^{-1} (\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1} \varepsilon_{ji}^{-1}) \varepsilon_{i+1,j} \dots \varepsilon_{j-2,j} \varepsilon_{j-1,j}, & 2 \leq i+1 < j \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

Using these formulas we find the matrix $\rho(a_{ij})$, $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ and then compare it with the Gassner matrix from [9, p.118].

Let us first find the matrix

$$\rho(a_{i,i+1}) = \rho(\varepsilon_{i,i+1}^{-1} \varepsilon_{i+1,i}^{-1}) = \rho(\varepsilon_{i,i+1}^{-1}) \rho(\varepsilon_{i+1,i}^{-1}).$$

We have

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} e_i \rho(\varepsilon_{i,i+1}^{-1}) \rho(\varepsilon_{i+1,i}^{-1}) &= (t_{i+1} e_i + (1 - t_i) e_{i+1}) \rho(\varepsilon_{i+1,i}^{-1}) = \\ &= (1 - t_i + t_i t_{i+1}) e_i + t_i (1 - t_i) e_{i+1}, \\ e_{i+1} \rho(\varepsilon_{i,i+1}^{-1}) \rho(\varepsilon_{i+1,i}^{-1}) &= e_{i+1} \rho(\varepsilon_{i+1,i}^{-1}) = (1 - t_{i+1}) e_i + t_i e_{i+1}, \\ e_l \rho(\varepsilon_{i,i+1}^{-1}) \rho(\varepsilon_{i+1,i}^{-1}) &= e_l \end{aligned} \right. \quad \text{if } l \neq i, i+1.$$

Next, we have to find $\rho(a_{ij})$ when $j > i+1$. To do this we have to calculate the matrix $\rho(\varepsilon_{i,j}^{-1} \varepsilon_{j,i}^{-1})$:

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} e_i \rho(\varepsilon_{i,j}^{-1}) \rho(\varepsilon_{j,i}^{-1}) &= (t_j e_i + (1 - t_i) e_j) \rho(\varepsilon_{j,i}^{-1}) = (1 - t_i + t_i t_j) e_i + t_i (1 - t_i) e_j, \\ e_j \rho(\varepsilon_{i,j}^{-1}) \rho(\varepsilon_{j,i}^{-1}) &= e_j \rho(\varepsilon_{j,i}^{-1}) = (1 - t_j) e_i + t_i e_j, \\ e_l \rho(\varepsilon_{i,j}^{-1}) \rho(\varepsilon_{j,i}^{-1}) &= e_l \end{aligned} \right. \quad \text{if } l \neq i, j.$$

The following equalities may be easily to check:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} e_l \rho(a_{i,j}) = e_l & \text{if } l < i \text{ or } l > j, \\ e_i \rho(a_{i,j}) = (1 - t_i + t_i t_j) e_i + t_i (1 - t_i) e_j, \\ e_k \rho(a_{i,j}) = (t_k - 1)(t_j - 1) e_i + e_k + (t_k - 1)(1 - t_i) e_j & \text{if } i < k < j, \\ e_j \rho(a_{i,j}) = (1 - t_j) e_i + t_i e_j. \end{array} \right.$$

Comparing this matrix with the Gassner matrix, we get the desired conclusion.

Recall that the group of conjugating automorphisms C_n can be decomposed as a semidirect product $C_n = Cb_n \rtimes S_n$.

As the matrix $\widehat{\rho}_G(\varepsilon_{ij})$ depends on $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$, we may set

$$t_1 = t_2 = \dots = t_n = t,$$

thereby obtaining the matrix which we shall denote by $\widehat{\rho}_B(\varepsilon_{ij})$. Further, for each automorphism from $S_n \leq \text{Aut}(F_n)$ we assign the matrix of the corresponding permutation of the elements of the base W_n . We will then obtain the representation

$$\widehat{\rho}_B : C_n \longrightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm}]).$$

It is easy to check that $\widehat{\rho}$ is an extension of the Burau representation of the braid group B_n .

As Cb_n is a subgroup of finite index in C_n , the representation $\widehat{\rho}_B$ is faithful if its restriction to Cb_n is faithful.

It is well-known that the Burau representation ρ_B and the Gassner representations ρ_G are reducible; however, both these representations determine some irreducible representations of dimension $n-1$ [9, Lemma 3.11.1].

The following question naturally arises.

Question. Is it true that the presentations $\widehat{\rho}_B$ and $\widehat{\rho}_G$ are reducible?

4. KERNEL OF THE REPRESENTATION $\widehat{\rho}_G$

In this section we will show that the representation $\widehat{\rho}_G$ is not faithful. We shall prove the following result.

Theorem 2. *The representation $\widehat{\rho}_G : Cb_n \longrightarrow \text{GL}_n(R)$ has a non-trivial kernel for every $n \geq 2$.*

The theorem implies that the representation $\rho : \text{IA}(F_n) \longrightarrow \text{GL}_n(R)$ has a non-trivial kernel for every $n \geq 2$.

Recall [14] that the group of basis-conjugating automorphisms Cb_n , $n \geq 2$ can be decomposed as a semidirect product as follows:

$$Cb_n = D_{n-1} \rtimes (D_{n-2} \rtimes (\dots \rtimes (D_2 \rtimes D_1)) \dots),$$

where subgroup D_i is generated by the elements

$$\varepsilon_{i+1,1}, \varepsilon_{i+1,2}, \dots, \varepsilon_{i+1,i}, \varepsilon_{1,i+1}, \varepsilon_{2,i+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_{i,i+1}.$$

Moreover, the elements $\varepsilon_{i+1,1}, \varepsilon_{i+1,2}, \dots, \varepsilon_{i+1,i}$ generate a free group of rank i which we will denote by L_i and the elements $\varepsilon_{1,i+1}, \varepsilon_{2,i+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_{i,i+1}$ generate a free abelian group of rank i which we will denote by A_i .

Let us show that if $j \geq 3$ then the second commutator subgroup L_j'' is contained in the kernel of the representation $\widehat{\rho}_G$. Following the construction of the matrix $\widehat{\rho}_G(\varepsilon_{ij}) = \rho(\varepsilon_{ij})$, one sees that it differs from the identity matrix only in i th row. Let $w = w(\varepsilon_{i1}, \varepsilon_{i2}, \dots, \varepsilon_{i,i-1})$ be a reduced word over the elements $\varepsilon_{i1}^{\pm 1}, \varepsilon_{i2}^{\pm 1}, \dots, \varepsilon_{i,i-1}^{\pm 1}$, the free generators of L_{i-1} . The following lemma describes how the automorphism w acts on a generator x_i of the free group F_n .

Lemma 3. *Let $w = w(\varepsilon_{i1}, \varepsilon_{i2}, \dots, \varepsilon_{i,i-1})$ be a reduced word which represents an element of L_{i-1} . Then*

$$x_i w(\varepsilon_{i1}, \varepsilon_{i2}, \dots, \varepsilon_{i,i-1}) = x_i^{w^*(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1})},$$

where the word $w^*(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1})$ is the reverse word of the word $w(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1})$, that is the syllables of w^* are the syllables of w written in the reverse order.

Proof. The statement is a consequence of the following equations that can be easily verified by induction on the number of syllables of w (the *syllabic length* of w):

$$x_i \varepsilon_{ik}^p = x_i^{x_k^p}, \quad x_i \varepsilon_{ik}^p \varepsilon_{il}^q = x_i^{x_l^q x_k^p}, \quad 1 \leq k \neq l \leq i-1, \quad p, q \in \mathbb{Z},$$

Obviously, if $w = w(\varepsilon_{i1}, \varepsilon_{i2}, \dots, \varepsilon_{i,i-1})$ represents an element of the second commutator subgroup L_{i-1}'' , then the word $w^* = w^*(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1})$ represents an element of the second commutator subgroup F_n'' .

Assume that $w = w(\varepsilon_{i1}, \varepsilon_{i2}, \dots, \varepsilon_{i,i-1})$ represents an element of L_{i-1}'' . In order to find the matrix $\widehat{\rho}_G(w)$ we have to find the derivatives

$\frac{\partial(x_i w)}{\partial x_k}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Let us check the following equality:

$$\left(\frac{\partial(x_i w)}{\partial x_k} \right)^\varphi = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = k, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The case when $k > i$ and the case when the word $x_i w$ does not contain x_k are simple. Suppose that $k = i$. We have

$$\frac{\partial(x_i w)}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial((w^*)^{-1} x_i w^*)}{\partial x_i}.$$

Using properties of the Fox's derivatives, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial((w^*)^{-1} x_i w^*)}{\partial x_i} &= \frac{\partial((w^*)^{-1})}{\partial x_i} + (w^*)^{-1} \frac{\partial(x_i w^*)}{\partial x_i} \\ &= -(w^*)^{-1} \frac{\partial w^*}{\partial x_i} + (w^*)^{-1} \left(1 + x_i \frac{\partial w^*}{\partial x_i} \right). \end{aligned}$$

As w^* does not contain x_i , the derivatives $\frac{\partial w^*}{\partial x_i}$ become zero and w^* lies in the commutator subgroup F'_n and φ takes it to 1, that is, $(w^*)^\varphi = 1$, as required.

Let, finally, $k < i$. Then

$$\frac{\partial((w^*)^{-1} x_i w^*)}{\partial x_k} = (w^*)^{-1} (x_i - 1) \frac{\partial w^*}{\partial x_k}.$$

Since $\ker \varphi = F'_n$, then by Blanchfield's theorem (taking into account that $w^* \in F''_n$) we have the equality $\left(\frac{\partial w^*}{\partial x_k} \right)^\varphi = 0$. The statement is proven.

As a consequence we have the following fact.

Lemma 4. *The representation $\widehat{\rho}_G$ of Cb_n , $n \geq 3$, is not faithful, since its kernel contains the subgroups L''_i , $i = 2, 3, \dots, n-1$. In particular, the above constructed representation $\widehat{\rho}_B$ of C_n , an extension of the Burau representation, is not faithful for all $n \geq 3$.*

Let us demonstrate that actually the representation $\widehat{\rho}_G$ is not faithful even if $n = 2$. In order to prove that, we shall need

Lemma 5. *The following formulas*

$$x_i w(\varepsilon_{12}, \varepsilon_{21}) = x_i^{w(x_2, x_1)}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

are true in $F_2 = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$. In particular, if $w(\varepsilon_{12}, \varepsilon_{21})$ is in the second commutator subgroup D_1'' , then $w(x_2, x_1)$ is in the second commutator subgroup F_2'' .

Proof. Recall that $Cb_2 = D_1 = \langle \varepsilon_{21}, \varepsilon_{12} \rangle \simeq F_2$ and the automorphisms ε_{12} and ε_{21} are defined as follows:

$$\varepsilon_{12} : \begin{cases} x_1 \mapsto x_2^{-1}x_1x_2, \\ x_2 \mapsto x_2, \end{cases} \quad \varepsilon_{21}^{-1} : \begin{cases} x_1 \mapsto x_1, \\ x_2 \mapsto x_1^{-1}x_2x_1, \end{cases}$$

i. e. ε_{12} induces conjugation by x_2 in F_2 and ε_{21} conjugation by x_1 . The action of $\varepsilon_{12}\varepsilon_{21}$ on the base x_1, x_2 is

$$\varepsilon_{12}\varepsilon_{21} : \begin{cases} x_1 \mapsto x_1^{-1}x_2^{-1}x_1x_2x_1, \\ x_2 \mapsto x_1^{-1}x_2x_1, \end{cases}$$

which means that $x_i\varepsilon_{12}\varepsilon_{21} = x_i^{x_2x_1}$, $i = 1, 2$.

If $w = w(\varepsilon_{12}, \varepsilon_{21})$ is a reduced word over the alphabet $\{\varepsilon_{12}^{\pm 1}, \varepsilon_{21}^{\pm 1}\}$, then using induction on the length of w , we get the following statement.

Lemma 6. *The kernel of the representation $\hat{\rho}_G$ of Cb_2 coincides the second commutator subgroup D_1'' .*

Proof. Let us show that if $w = w(\varepsilon_{12}, \varepsilon_{21})$ is in D_1'' then $\hat{\rho}_G(w) = 1$. It follows from the definition of the Magnus representation that

$$\hat{\rho}_G(w(\varepsilon_{12}, \varepsilon_{21})) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial(x_1w(\varepsilon_{12}, \varepsilon_{21}))}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial(x_1w(\varepsilon_{12}, \varepsilon_{21}))}{\partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial(x_2w(\varepsilon_{12}, \varepsilon_{21}))}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial(x_2w(\varepsilon_{12}, \varepsilon_{21}))}{\partial x_2} \end{pmatrix}^{\varphi}.$$

Write w_1 for $w(x_2, x_1)$. We calculate the Fox's derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial(x_1w)}{\partial x_1} &= \frac{\partial(w_1^{-1}x_1w_1)}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\partial(w_1^{-1})}{\partial x_1} + w_1^{-1} \frac{\partial(x_1w_1)}{\partial x_1} = \\ &= -w_1^{-1} \frac{\partial w_1}{\partial x_1} + w_1^{-1} \left(1 + x_1 \frac{\partial w_1}{\partial x_1} \right) = w_1^{-1} \left(-\frac{\partial w_1}{\partial x_1} + 1 + x_1 \frac{\partial w_1}{\partial x_1} \right) = \\ &= w_1^{-1} \left(1 + (x_1 - 1) \frac{\partial w_1}{\partial x_1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the homomorphism φ and taking into account the following formulas

$$(w_1^{-1})^{\varphi} = 1, \quad \left(\frac{\partial w_1}{\partial x_1} \right)^{\varphi} = 0,$$

we obtain that

$$\left(\frac{\partial(x_1w)}{\partial x_1} \right)^{\varphi} = 1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial(x_1 w)}{\partial x_2} &= \frac{\partial(w_1^{-1} x_1 w_1)}{\partial x_2} = \frac{\partial(w_1^{-1})}{\partial x_2} + w_1^{-1} \frac{\partial(x_1 w_1)}{\partial x_2} = \\ &= -w_1^{-1} \frac{\partial w_1}{\partial x_2} + w_1^{-1} x_1 \frac{\partial w_1}{\partial x_2} = -w_1^{-1} (1 - x_1) \frac{\partial w_1}{\partial x_1}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\left(\frac{\partial w_1}{\partial x_2}\right)^\varphi = 0$, then $\left(\frac{\partial(x_1 w)}{\partial x_2}\right)^\varphi = 0$. Similarly,

$$\frac{\partial(x_2 w)}{\partial x_1} = -w_1^{-1} (1 - x_2) \frac{\partial w_1}{\partial x_1}, \quad \frac{\partial(x_2 w)}{\partial x_2} = w_1^{-1} \left(1 + (x_2 - 1) \frac{\partial w_1}{\partial x_2}\right).$$

Using the homomorphism φ we see that

$$\widehat{\rho}_G(w(\varepsilon_{12}, \varepsilon_{21})) = 1.$$

The proof is completed.

Now Lemma 4 and Lemma 6 imply Theorem 2. It then follows that the representations $\rho : \text{IA}(F_n) \longrightarrow \text{GL}_n(R)$ and $\widehat{\rho}_B : C_n \longrightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}])$ are not faithful for all $n \geq 2$. Note that the question about faithfulness of the Gassner representation of P_n , ≥ 4 , is still open.

It is interesting to note that Cb_2 is generated by elements ε_{12} and ε_{21} and corresponding matrices $\widehat{\rho}_G(\varepsilon_{12}^{-1})$ and $\widehat{\rho}_G(\varepsilon_{21}^{-1})$ are

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\rho}_G(\varepsilon_{12}^{-1}) &= \left(\begin{array}{cc} \frac{\partial(x_1 \varepsilon_{12}^{-1})}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial(x_1 \varepsilon_{12}^{-1})}{\partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial(x_2 \varepsilon_{12}^{-1})}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial(x_2 \varepsilon_{12}^{-1})}{\partial x_2} \end{array} \right)^\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} t_2 & 1 - t_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \widehat{\rho}_G(\varepsilon_{21}^{-1}) &= \left(\begin{array}{cc} \frac{\partial(x_1 \varepsilon_{21}^{-1})}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial(x_1 \varepsilon_{21}^{-1})}{\partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial(x_2 \varepsilon_{21}^{-1})}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial(x_2 \varepsilon_{21}^{-1})}{\partial x_2} \end{array} \right)^\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 - t_2 & t_1 \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

As we saw in the proof of the last Lemma these matrices does not generate a free group. But the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mu & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}, \quad |\lambda| \geq 3, |\mu| \geq 3,$$

generate a group which is isomorphic to the free group F_2 .

REFERENCES

- [1] A. G. Savushkina, On group of conjugating automorphisms of free group, *Matem. Zametki*, 60, N 1 (1996), 92–108 (in Russian).
- [2] D. Krammer, Braid groups are linear, *Annals of Math.*, 155, No 1 (2002), 131–156.
- [3] S. Bigelow, Braid groups are linear, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 14, No 2 (2001), 471–486.
- [4] The Kouravka Notebook (Unsolved problems in group theory), 15th edn., Institute of Mathematics SO RAN, Novosibirsk 2002.
- [5] W. Burau, Über Zopfgruppen und gleichsinnig verdrillte Verkettungen, *Abh. Math. Semin. Hamburg Univ.*, 11, 1936, 179–186.
- [6] J. A. Moody, The Burau representation of the braid group B_n is unfaithful for large n , *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 25, No 2 (1991), 379–384.
- [7] D. D. Long, M. Paton, The Burau representation is not faithful for $n \geq 6$, *Topology*, 32, No 2 (1993), 439–447.
- [8] S. Bigelow, The Burau representation of B_5 is not faithful, *Geom. Topology*, 3, (1999), 397–404.
- [9] J. S. Birman, *Braids, links and mapping class group*, Princeton–Tokyo: Univ. press, 1974.
- [10] A. A. Markov, Foundations of the algebraic theory of braids, *Trudy Math. Inst. Steklov*, 1945, 16, 1–54 (in Russian).
- [11] J. McCool, On basis–conjugating automorphisms of free groups, *Can. J. Math.*, 38, No 6 (1986), 1525–1529.
- [12] R. C. Lyndon and P. E. Schupp, *Combinatorial group theory*, Springer–Verlag, 1977.
- [13] R. H. Crowell, R. H. Fox, *Introduction to knot theory*, Ginn and company, 1963.
- [14] V. G. Bardakov, The structure of the group of conjugating automorphisms, *Algebra i Logika*, 42, No 5 (2003), 515–541 (see also arXiv:math.GR/0301247).

VALERIJ BARDAKOV, SOBOLEV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, NOVOSIBIRSK,
630090, RUSSIA

E-mail address: bardakov@math.nsc.ru